

Exhibit H, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0016793 Criteria, Subcriteria Sheet				
Evaluator				
Respondent				
#	Criteria	Weight	Score	CQC SR Comments
1	Project Abstract (5%)			
1.1	Evaluate the Applicant's proposed service area, proposed activities, populations(s) to be served, and the impact of the proposed activities for the period of performance.	5%		
	Subtotal	5%		
2	Project Narrative (50%)			
2.1	Evaluate the Applicant's proposed Project and overall approach to a transitional system of HIV medical care and support services for peer education, transitional discharge planning, and coordination with existing Minority Aids Initiative program partners.	5%		
2.2	Evaluate the Applicant's approach to implement the proposed plan and methodology through peer education, transitional discharge planning, and coordination with existing Ryan White Minority Aids Initiative and other program partners.	5%		
2.3	Evaluate the Applicant's proposed Outreach plan and methodology to engage persons living with HIV soon to be released, recently released from incarceration, and re-engage client back into medical and support services.	7%		
2.4	Evaluate the Applicant's proposed Health Education/Risk Reduction curriculum topics for population and prioritized client need and barriers to proving education in respective environments or settings.	5%		
2.5	Evaluate the Applicant's approach to address transitional planning of Texas HIV Medication Program (THMP) application, scheduling post release HIV medical appointments, scheduling post release HIV support service appointment, additional post release referrals, and follow-up within 30 Calendar Days of release.	5%		
2.6	Evaluate the Applicant's approach to service people from counties outside of the service area, plan to use Medical Transportation, Emergency Financial Assistance, and AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP) to support program activities, uniqueness and feasibility of the plan to leverage community services for program activities.	5%		
2.7	Evaluate the Applicant's proposed work plan goals, objectives, and key action steps that will meet the program requirements and corresponds to the described methodologies.	9%		
2.8	Evaluate the Applicant's proposed method to monitor and evaluate program activities and performance outcomes, methods to assess program performance and confirm medical appointment linkages and enrollment into THMP.	9%		
	Subtotal	50%		
3	Experience (25%)			
3.1	Evaluate the Applicant's current organizational purpose, mission, structure, scope of activities, and contributions to the organization's ability to conduct program activities and meet program expectations, for direct care and management staff.	5%		
3.2	Evaluate the Applicant's knowledge, experience, training, and skills working with people with HIV, racial/ethnic minorities, incarcerated and recently released individuals and/or other marginalized populations experiencing health disparities as it relates to this project.	10%		
3.3	Evaluate the Applicant's proposed and/or existing collaborators to assist with program implementation.	5%		
3.4	Evaluate the Applicant's organizational chart depicting the organizational structure of the project to include significant collaborators.	5%		
	Subtotal	25%		
4	Performance Measures (10%)			
4.1	Evaluate the Applicant's proposed activities to meet the performance measures for Outreach and Health Education/Risk Reduction.	5%		
4.2	Evaluate the Applicant's proposed performance measures for Medical Transportation and Emergency Financial Assistance.	5%		
	Subtotal	10%		
5	Cost (10%)			
5.1	Evaluate the reasonableness of the Applicant's proposed Requested Budget.	10%		
	Subtotal	10%		
	TOTAL (%)	100%		

Exhibit H, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0016793		
Evaluation Scoring Guide		
Score	Level	Description
Unacceptable	1	Response does not address requirement. Response is completely unacceptable.
Unacceptable	2	Response mentions requirement, but is not responsive to the elements of the requirement.
Unacceptable	3	Response addresses requirement, but response described does not allow the agency to fulfill mission.
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	4	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (would require both the agency and Respondent to make significant changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	5	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require both the agency and Respondent to make minor changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	6	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require changes to be made by Respondent only).
Acceptable	7	Response clearly satisfies requirement but has some minor weaknesses.
Acceptable	8	Response clearly satisfies requirement.
Acceptable	9	Response satisfies requirements and has some benefits above requirement.
Exceptional	10	Response far exceeds all aspects of requirement.

For the purposes of this exhibit, "the agency" means the contracting state agency as specified in the solicitation.

Exhibit H, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0016793		
No.	Best Value Criteria	Weight
1	Project Abstract (5%)	5%
2	Project Narrative (50%)	50%
3	Experience (25%)	25%
4	Performance Measures (10%)	10%
5	Cost (10%)	10%
	GRAND TOTAL	100%